

Message

From: Saric, James [saric.james@epa.gov]
Sent: 4/15/2013 8:52:11 PM
To: Tanaka, Joan [Tanaka.Joan@epa.gov]; Berkoff, Michael [berkoff.michael@epa.gov]
CC: Carlson, Janet [carlson.janet@epa.gov]; Frey, Rebecca [frey.rebecca@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Agenda for tomorrow

Joan,

I think your paragraph on the Panelyte site is great. That's exactly how we should present this. My question regarding the Agenda is I am not sure what you want Michael and I to cover under item #4. Under item #2 I was planning on indicating since there are new individuals in the meeting to recap EPA's commitment to the site and provide examples of the work that has been completed. Then to indicate at the last meeting we presented EPA's draft preliminary position to address the Allied Site and to explain that briefly. I would then wrap up that at the end of the meeting there were several action items including the Panelyte property and a list of other questions provided by the City.

At that point, I was thinking of turning it over to the Mayor for any remarks before getting into more specific discussions regarding Panelyte or the City's other comments.

Jim

From: Tanaka, Joan
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2013 2:55 PM
To: Berkoff, Michael
Cc: Carlson, Janet; Frey, Rebecca; Saric, James
Subject: RE: Agenda for tomorrow

Thanks Michael.

Please see my edits. I suggest a more specific, pointed approach to the agenda. Do folks think this is too specific? Agenda items 2, 3, and 4 are really a recap/update to our previous discussion, but I think it is important for the Council members and Rick to hear.

I agree the Panelyte discussion should not be specific about the contamination, however, think we need to be careful about the potential NPL discussion. I suggest we should start by saying that a "comfort letter" is not possible at this time because the property has some contamination. We can let them know that the state owns the land in their "land bank" and will require cleanup before transferring it to another entity. We can then ask the city if they have a potential developer to address the contamination and future development. We can then discuss the fact that we are currently evaluating including Panelyte in the NPL site have some discretion on whether we include it or not; then gauge their reaction. I can lead that discussion, but will refer to Jan and others to help as needed.

Joan Tanaka
 Remedial Response Branch 1 Chief
 Superfund Division
 USEPA Region 5
 telephone: 312-353-5425

From: Berkoff, Michael
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2013 12:38 PM
To: Tanaka, Joan
Cc: Carlson, Janet; Frey, Rebecca; Saric, James
Subject: Agenda for tomorrow

Joan,
Attached is our proposed agenda for tomorrow for your review. Our consensus is that we keep the Panelyte discussion at an upper level, do not get into much discussion of the current contamination there and go straight to the question of its potential inclusion on the NPL. If you agree with that approach, I would recommend that either you or Rick lead that discussion.
Thank you,
Michael

Michael Berkoff
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. EPA Region 5
Superfund Division
Remedial Response Section #3
Phone:(312) 353-8983
Fax:(312) 582-5160